

Balancing Rigour and Compassion: The Ideal Formula for Organizational Excellence

Dr Anthony K Isabirye

Vaal University of Technology
Faculty of Management Sciences
South Africa
Email: isabiryeaki@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0003-3601-2241

Prof Kholeka C Moloi

Vaal University of Technology
Faculty of Management Sciences
South Africa
Email: khadijah.moloi@madinainstitute.ac.za
ORCID: 0000-0003-1913-1206

Prof Ramoshweu S Lebelo

Vaal University of Technology
Faculty of Applied and Computer Sciences
South Africa
Email: sollyl@vut.ac.za
ORCID: 0000-0002-8051-1569

ABSTRACT

This qualitative study aimed to identify the optimal formula for attaining organisational excellence by integrating quantitative performance measurements with a commitment to employee well-being. The article, grounded in comprehensive research from academic databases and industry publications, challenges the prevalent notion that high performance is the sole criterion for achieving exceptional performance. The study's results indicate that organisations can cultivate a culture of continuous improvement and achieve exceptional performance by adopting a balanced strategy that integrates high standards with empathetic and considerate leadership. Rigour in organisations is delineated, along with its components and the implications for operational efficiency and quality assurance. Moreover, the study elucidates how the application of data analysis and analytical tools can enhance an organisation's strategic performance. The significance of compassion is elucidated, along with its beneficial impact on fostering a pleasant work atmosphere characterised by empathy and emotional intelligence. The article further explores the convergence of rigour and compassion, identifying potential methods for attaining equilibrium. Additionally, it discusses the proposed theoretical foundations for the model, the measuring instruments, and the implementation plans, which encompass training and successful change management. Furthermore, identified research gaps are examined, along with the consequences of the findings for managers and leaders, and recommendations for organisations aiming for excellence are emphasised. The study ultimately indicates that sustainable success or excellence is attainable just through the integration of rigour and compassion, which would significantly affect stakeholders. This research advocates a transformation in organisational cultures and urges businesses to abandon the myth of the merciless high performance to facilitate enhanced innovation, commitment, and achievement.

Key words: Organizational excellence, rigour, high performance, compassion, employee well-being.

1 INTRODUCTION

The modern business landscape requires companies to perform well under all conditions. Management theories have long held that impartially pursuing results is the only way to succeed (Miller, 2014). Due to this ideology, many organisations have strict KPIs and little tolerance for failures (Petrick, 2017). Increasing data suggests that focusing solely on performance may be incorrect. Research shows that balanced and sympathetic leadership produces better and longer-lasting results (Melé, 2019; Wei et al., 2016). Empathetic people management and rigorous accountability can sustain employee wellbeing development (Bernal et al., 2018; Conklin, 2021). The current organisational structure hinders performance, financial returns, and human management. To improve decision-making and efficiency, organisations have relied on tools, data, and discipline. According to the literature, rigidity alone may not be enough in the modern world; a balance between rigour and compassion is increasingly seen as a better way to achieve sustainable success. According to Melé (2019), businesses must focus on performance indicators and encourage personal growth. Miller (2014) expands organisational excellence to include relationship management and culture, arguing that high-performing businesses promote employee well-being. Wei et al. (2016) found that compassionate leadership improves performance, proving that leaders with empathy and emotional intelligence can lead their teams to greatness.

Bernal et al. (2018) discovered that mindfulness and deep organisational values improve organisational resilience and adaptation to unpredictability. Petrick (2017) examines Total Quality Management in HR and recommends people-centered management to boost employee engagement and organisational effectiveness. This study uses Zhao et al. (2024) case studies of exceptional Chinese hospitals to teach leadership approaches that balance rigour and compassion. Conklin (2021)'s theoretical frameworks on balancing acts in organisational change provide a systematic view of this dualistic approach, whereas Dewar et al. (2022) examine the mindsets of exceptional CEOs who can overcome these problems. Ahn et al. (2024) research which focuses on compassion and job performance in the South Korean public art sector, and Christensen-Salem et al. (2021), which study socio-emotional capital in family enterprises, also contribute to the discourse on compassion in organisations. Balasubramanian and Fernandes (2022) discuss COVID-19 pandemic crisis leadership approaches and the necessity of compassionate leadership in disasters.

This study provides a comprehensive and creative organisational excellence basis. It claims that businesses that balance rigour and compassion can create sustainable, high-performing, and purposeful cultures that generate financial benefits and improve employee and societal well-being. The research also analyses how compassion and rigour might improve organisational performance in the complex corporate world.

Performance-oriented management strategies and human variables affecting employee commitment and organisational identification differ, as this study shows. Companies' focus on profit margins leaves many workers hurt, dissatisfied, and looking for new jobs. Unregulated performance jargon can create a toxic workplace where employees feel undervalued and replaceable. The study explains 'rigour' and 'compassion' to help understand this issue. In organisational management, "rigour" refers to a systematic performance measurement approach that is precise, exact, and requires explanation. It includes the strategies and processes businesses use to attain goals. Conversely, "compassion" is a concern and a leadership style that prioritises employee emotions, improving job satisfaction and workplace climate. Compassion involves listening to people, developing them, and supporting their well-being within the organisation, treating them as humans rather than tools. The relationship between performance indicators and compassionate leadership in organisations is important for various reasons. Therefore, it is possible to create a more efficient work environment that prioritises performance and employee job satisfaction. Through employee dedication, innovation, and absence reduction, this research can help organisations achieve long-term success. It helps modern companies create a unique management plan to address worker issues without losing performance.

The study will also explain how to execute this dualism, its issues and solutions, and the softer approach's challenges and solutions. This study presents a 21st-century paradigm that breaks the 'hard' and 'soft' management divide. The findings suggest that strict performance and compassionate personnel management are linked and can shape world-class organisations.

2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This qualitative literature-based study examined the ideal organisational greatness recipe that combines rigour and compassion. The need to properly synthesise and critically assess current literature on this issue to identify key themes, concepts, and best practices that explain the complex relationship between organisational performance and human-centered methods drove our decision. The literature review thoroughly searched Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar for peer-reviewed articles. The inquiry used terms like 'organisational excellence', 'rigour and compassion', 'leadership and excellence', 'human resource management excellence', and 'organisational change'. A snowball sampling technique was used to find more relevant articles and build a comprehensive literature base by reviewing the reference lists of the found articles.

According to the review's inclusion criteria, articles must be published in peer-reviewed journals, focus on organisational excellence with an emphasis on rigour and compassion, be written in English, and have been published within the last decade to ensure relevance. Compliance with standards improves the material's credibility and depth. Select literature was carefully examined and evaluated. Each essay revealed themes, concepts, and best practices that can improve organisational rigour and compassion. Multiple studies were synthesised to improve understanding and present an ideal organisational excellence framework. Several considerations supported literature review. It first allows a systematic and thorough analysis of the existing knowledge base, revealing gaps in understanding (Hiebl, 2023; Kraus et al., 2022). Second, it presented a broad and multifaceted look at how businesses might create strong systems and compassionate workplaces. Kraus et al. (2022)'s complete literature review recommendations for independent studies shows that the procedure helps establish theoretical frameworks for future research. For complex subjects like organisational excellence, a literature review is an effective research tool. It helps researchers identify patterns and themes across multiple studies, creating consensus among varied fields and viewpoints, which is crucial for studying organisational phenomena (Mohamed Shaffril et al., 2021).

The researchers hope this study would improve knowledge of rigour and compassion in organisational processes and provide a foundation for excellence. This study could help companies

balance quantitative measures with compassion in the workplace, enhancing employee engagement and performance in today's changing climate.

3 LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 Historical Context of Performance-Driven Management

Over time, performance-oriented management has changed how companies set goals and manage operations. Performance-driven management began with scientific management pioneer Frederick Taylor in the early 1900s. The division of labour and time studies, which are currently used in almost all management functions to boost productivity and performance, underpin this (Sushil & Dhir, 2024). Performance management cultures strengthened in the mid to late 20th century as companies adopted scientific management theories. During this time, formal methods for improving organisational effectiveness increased, especially in manufacturing and industry. Total Quality Management (TQM) approaches used by 1980s figures like W. Edwards Deming stressed the importance of exact organisational performance supervision. Performance metrics and product and service quality improvements made organisational effectiveness heavily dependent on measurable results (Botelho, 2024).

However, due to changes in the global business world, notably technological and societal aspects, the severe performance-oriented approach may not be fully accepted. Experts and practitioners now recognise that focusing solely on efficiency may hurt employee engagement and business. Thus, frameworks promoting human management and employee welfare emerged. According to Christensen-Salem et al. (2021), family firms have higher employee job satisfaction than non-family firms due to organisational care or compassion that transcends performance metrics. This shows that organisational efficiency includes productivity and socio-emotional factors that promote a healthy workplace. COVID-19, for example, showed the need for a balanced leadership strategy that prioritises efficiency, compassion, and the organisation's ability to recover quickly. Balasubramanian and Fernandes (2022) assess crisis leadership's effectiveness through sympathetic communication and staff wellness, warning against performance-driven leadership in crises. In academic discourse, more scholars advise integrating caring (compassion) and

performance as success factors. Dinsmore and Ali-Khan (2024) find that higher education institutions that prioritise care and excellence are more likely to succeed. Kotková Stříteská and Sein (2021) explore the shift to performance-oriented cultures in Nordic public sector organisations, indicating that compassion and high standards may coexist. Performance cultures are also briefly discussed in community organisations. Ukeles (2022) shows how performance cultures in dynamic communities help people enroll and engage, emphasising the importance of relationships beyond performance contracts. Organisational excellence must include performance, compassion, and stakeholder support. This historical transformation requires businesses to rethink leadership to incorporate rigour and compassion to succeed in the modern economic world. In the next part, we'll define organisational excellence before discussing how rigour and compassion can lead to success.

3.2 Organisational Excellence

Assessing corporate success and its causes is crucial to organisational excellence. According to Uhabakin and Titov (2020), the best first step is to determine an organization's size, industry, and life cycle stage to determine its success criteria. This is pragmatic since organisations vary greatly and may choose different key performance indicators based on their environment and strategic goals. Success depends on organisational conduct, according to Indermun and Bayat (2013). The authors argue that individual, group, and organisational dynamics are necessary for organisational success. These scholars identify leadership, communication, and organisational culture as factors that can improve or hinder performance. In addition, Savastano et al. (2022) found that corporate culture affects employee behaviour and performance across numerous industries, including the hotel business. Success depends on aligning personal and organisational values, according to Titov and Umarova (2017). Sustainable performance and engagement require personal and institutional values alignment. Employees are more committed to the company's goals when they believe it has a clear mission and direction that matches their values. Murari and Mukherjee (2021) found that real transformational leadership aligns leaders' and managers' values with the organization's, improving management performance and sustainability. Rajoria et al. (2022) offer how leadership style affects organisational success. Their results show that effective leaders who set high standards and listen to their followers can handle complicated corporate difficulties and rapid

change. This dual approach supports an integrated view of organisational excellence that balances goal achievement with human factors. Margherita et al. (2021)'s discussion of digital transformation and sustainable business practices supports the idea that agility and flexibility have moved from soft skills to fundamental organisational performance. Due to rapid technological advancements, organisations must meet market demands while encouraging innovation and continuous improvement, so excellence should be seen as a holistic concept that encompasses both measurable and qualitative organisational dynamics. Thus, organisational excellence is shaped by organisational factors, behaviour, values, leadership styles, and learning capacities. In our search for the "optimal formula for organisational excellence," these different insights provide a solid foundation for understanding and succeeding in the modern complex business landscape. Organisations may build strong and empathic strategies by understanding these interactions, improving their effectiveness and sustainability.

3.3 Understanding Rigour in Organisations

Rigour in organisations means a systematic and disciplined approach to management and operations, characterised by precise standards, stringent processes, and a relentless focus on measurable outcomes. It includes various components that help organisations achieve their goals through improved efficiency and effectiveness. Excellence in organisational performance requires strict compliance with standards, evidence-based decision-making, and superior performance indicators. Organisations can improve productivity, quality, and accountability by systematic implementation of principles, as shown by Botelho (2024), Ipinazar et al. (2021), and Carvalho (2023). Rigour includes Performance Metrics, Evaluation Criteria, Processes, and Standardisation. Companies define quantitative criteria to measure productivity and results. Companies measure performance using KPIs, efficiency ratios, and quality control information. Organisational success is measured by customer satisfaction, defect rates, and financial ratios (Botelho, 2024).

Evaluation criteria underpin organisational performance assessment. The criteria allow businesses to evaluate their objective achievement and identify opportunities for improvement. Organisational performance evaluation emphasises productivity, quality, and regulatory compliance (Ipinazar et al., 2021). Stringent businesses standardise operations to achieve uniformity and reliability. Standard operating procedures (SOPs) assist employees and reduce

performance variability. Standardised processes reduce risk and boost operational efficiency (Carvalho et al., 2023). They also foster accountability and ongoing improvement, improving performance and quality.

4 IMPACTS OF RIGOUR ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

Rigorous procedures boost organisational success in many ways. Rigour impacts operational efficiency, quality assurance, and risk management. Donaldson et al. (2022) found that strict operating rules improve process efficiency, reducing waste and increasing production. Organisations can improve workflow management and productivity by reviewing performance indicators and promoting continual improvement. This operational efficiency boosts company profitability and sustainability, according to research. Quality assurance requires rigour to maintain production and service quality. Companies can meet or exceed customer expectations by using Six Sigma or lean processes to ensure quality. According to Groenewald et al., 2024, quality control techniques help companies spot errors quickly, reducing costly rework and improving customer satisfaction.

Quality control helps organisations discover potential flaws early, reducing costly rework and improving customer satisfaction (Groenewald et al., 2024). A rigorous framework is essential for organisational risk management. Rigid performance evaluations and compliance criteria help organisations discover and reduce risks. In industries with strict regulatory requirements, failing to fulfil standards might have serious consequences (Chaudhuri et al., 2024). The synthesis of these factors shows that rigour is about improving organisational performance and resilience, and organisations seeking excellence will use rigour in their decision-making and strategy.

4.1 Rigour in Decision Making and Strategy formulation

In dynamic marketplaces, organisations must make decisions with rigour. Organisations use data insights and analytical frameworks to make careful decisions (Awan et al., 2021; Sarker, 2021). Awan et al. (2021) explain that companies use data-driven analytics to improve strategic performance. Awan et al. (2021) remark that managers' ability to assess huge datasets provides practical insights that influence operational and strategic decision-making. Verified data improves prediction accuracy and market alignment for organisations. Organisations use analytical tools to

investigate possibilities and evaluate results, according to Sarker (2021). Many companies use SWOT, PESTLE, and the Business Model Canvas to conduct contextual analysis and get strategic insights. According to Sarker (2021), organisations use these tools to traverse complex circumstances and make informed decisions based on a complete awareness of internal and external factors. Data-driven techniques and analytical frameworks boost strategic capabilities, increasing competitiveness and agility. Organisational rigour requires knowing its essential traits and impact on strategic performance and decision-making. A rigorous organisational culture can improve efficiency, quality, and risk management, leading to balanced excellence with rigour and compassion.

5 THE ROLE OF COMPASSION IN ORGANISATIONS

Organisational compassion is acknowledging others' suffering and emotions at work. Compassion requires empathy and emotional intelligence, which helps leaders and individuals understand others. These competences help healthcare educators identify and manage staff and patient emotional needs, which affect organisational efficacy, according to McNulty and Politis (2023). Compassion and employee well-being are strongly correlated in organisational behaviour research. Compassionate organisations create an environment where people feel appreciated and acknowledged, improving job satisfaction and psychological safety (Jemal et al., 2021). Compassionate businesses reduce stress and boost employee resilience, improving performance and reducing turnover, according to West et al. (2017) and Pionke and Graham (2021). Compassionate leadership fosters organisational compassion. Servant and transformational leadership emphasise helping and developing people. Brohi et al. (2021) argue that servant leadership prioritises employee and community needs, building trust and psychological safety. Such leaders empower and esteem their subordinates, improving their performance. Transformational leadership, like servant leadership, shows compassion by meeting employees' needs and inspiring them to achieve goals. Papadopoulos et al. (2021) claim that compassionate leaders prioritise outcomes and subordinate well-being, impacting organisational culture. Such leadership styles encourage teamwork, allowing people to communicate their concerns and goals, boosting involvement and inventiveness. To foster compassion, an organisation must have a caring

culture. Creating a caring company culture requires purposeful measures to help all employees. Leave policies promote employee mental wellness in this society. Pavithra (2022) found that compassionate workplaces have better employee relations and higher productivity. Organisations that promote mental health through work-life balance, counselling, and exercise show compassion. Oruh et al. (2021) showed that compassionate managerial leadership reduced employee stress during the COVID-19 pandemic, emphasising the need of crisis policy support. A caring organisational culture improves collaboration and coordination (Koon, 2022). Compassionate people know that their coworkers enjoy help and recognition, which encourages knowledge sharing and problem-solving and innovation. This synergy benefits employees and the company. This study suggests that leadership and organisational culture can improve employee wellness by including compassion. Thus, empathic leadership and supportive policies can help organisations meet high standards and meet care needs, laying the groundwork for success.

5.1 The Intersection of Rigour and Compassion

Organisations today realise that rigour and compassion lead to sustainable excellence. A workplace that optimises performance and employee well-being must reconcile these two contradictory ideas. Many case studies show how to integrate these elements, which firms may use to balance performance and compassion. According to Malenfant et al.'s 2022 study, a prominent healthcare organisation adopted the Caring for the Carer initiative's two complimentary strategies to prioritise performance indicators and staff well-being. It found higher employee satisfaction, lower turnover, and better patient care. Malenfant et al. (2022) claim that caring leadership and rigorous performance evaluation methods create great companies.

According to Malenfant et al. (2022), Papadopoulos et al. (2022) found that the Reek Health Care System implemented a culture reform that emphasised compassion and high-quality patient care. The study found that this technique improved performance and workplace support, proving that strict performance procedures and compassion are the best way to achieve organisational greatness. Indeed, many frameworks help businesses assess the link between rigour and compassion. The PERMA+ Model is a positive psychology paradigm that evaluates workplace performance using engagement, connections, meaning, and achievement (Donaldson et al., 2022). This strategy allows organisations to widen their evaluation criteria and assess how rigorous

standards and compassionate practices affect employee well-being and organisational excellence. In addition to the PERMA model, Dodson and Heng (2022) developed the Self-compassions model to help organisations reduce performance-related stress that harms employees. In addition to performance measurements, self-compassion surveys can provide managers with valuable information into employee resilience and contentment.

5.2 Overcoming Barriers to Balancing Rigour and Compassion

Many challenges prevent organisations from achieving excellence via discipline and compassion. Cultural transformation resistance is a major obstacle. When executives try to be sympathetic, performance-focused environments sometimes look down on them. Khaw et al. (2023) found that employee pushbacks and leadership opposition can impede organisational policy and practice changes. Effective transitions require leaders who can demonstrate how empathy sustains performance excellence. Misunderstanding the notions of rigour and compassion leads many to believe they are opposite. Peng et al. (2021) claim that leaders and employees typically choose between great performance and compassion, not realising that both can be mutually reinforcing. Employees who misunderstand empathetic leadership hinder organisational growth. Insufficient understanding of integration's benefits may also cause resistance. Many companies mistakenly believe that quantitative metrics will improve performance, ignoring the importance of strong leadership that builds employee commitment (Evans, 2022). Educational and organisational awareness efforts must provide evidence-based results that rigour and compassion work. The link between rigour and compassion can help an enterprise succeed. Organisations can overcome balance issues with case study analysis and assessment frameworks. Organisations must address cultural barriers and beliefs that prevent them from reaching organisational excellence.

6 CASE STUDIES OF ORGANISATIONAL EXCELLENCE

Effective models have emerged from integrating organisational leadership with rigour and empathy across industries. Only balanced execution makes the two elements wonderful. Evans (2022) studies how compassionate leadership creates supportive work cultures that improve patient care and staff morale in health care. Top hospitals combine excellent medical outcomes with staff and patient well-being programmes. These measures prevent burnout and improve patient

satisfaction, emphasising the significance of compassion in operational efficiency. Corporate life has many examples. Christensen-Salem et al. (2021) analysed Tiffany & Co., a family-owned business, to show how organisational care policies have increased employee loyalty. The link between organisational values and daily operational procedures promotes accountability and excellent performance, showing that caring leadership and strict processes produce great company results. Schools utilised Yokuş' (2022) educational leadership style during the COVID-19 pandemic. The idea promotes flexibility, mutual support, and empathetic communication during a crisis. The technique increased teacher and student involvement and academic performance, showing that caring educational institutions succeed in crisis. Nordstrom Retail Corporation implements these ideas well. Papadopoulos et al. (2021) show that letting employees prioritise customer service helps the company build a humane operating strategy. The organisation lets staff use their discretion to provide excellent customer service while meeting high performance and empathetic requirements.

7 LESSONS LEARNED FROM FAILURE TO INTEGRATE RIGOUR AND COMPASSION

The discord between rigour and compassion can have negative effects. Famous organisational failures illustrate this topic. Carvalho et al. (2021) examine organisational failures across industries to determine how overemphasising operational rigour demotivated employees and had negative cultural consequences. When companies emphasise metrics and efficiency over employee well-being, they increase turnover and decrease innovation. According to Shao (2024), Wells Fargo was criticised for its unethical sales practices due to strict performance goals. Compassionate leadership must reduce the negative impacts of extreme rigour, which led to worker turnover and public distrust.

Marellas (2021) examines higher education institutions that failed to establish humane online learning transition techniques. Institutions that prioritised strict compliance measures to reduce stress increased student and instructor distress, demonstrating a lack of compassion. These shortcomings necessitate a rigor-compassion strategy. Integrated frameworks that merge these two components through organisational evolution protect human capital and improve performance.

The shift from process-oriented to relationship-based frameworks will help employees grow. The combination of rigour and compassion boosts organisational success, but setbacks show the need for balance. Organisational frameworks must combine this dual strategy to develop resilience and success in the highly competitive global landscape.

8 DEVELOPING THE RIGOUR-COMPASSION MODEL

The rigour-compassion leadership model combines operational excellence and compassionate organisational practises. Rigour is methodical processes that ensure efficacy and measurement criteria, but compassion means heart-centered leadership that boosts staff morale and dedication. At one end of the continuum, organisations follow strict rules and measurements, while at the other, compassion and emotional support prevail without a clear operational structure. Businesses can create productive, humane methods by combining these two extremes. A literature review shows that a rigour-compassion approach requires leadership effectiveness, corporate culture, employee involvement, performance measures, and feedback mechanisms. Tzortzaki (2022) showed that leaders who mix rigour and compassion may encourage their teams to perform and create workplaces that value results and employee well-being. Personal accountability and empathy are essential to corporate culture because they produce great results. A culture of agility, operational excellence, and compassion is the best basis for organisational success, according to Carvalho et al. (2023). Committed employees are more productive and loyal, according to employee engagement. According to Brohi et al. (2021), compassionate management increases employee trust, psychological safety, and work engagement. The fourth component of the proposed paradigm is performance measures, which include operational effectiveness and employee wellness indicators. Evans (2022) shows that effective health care organisations track clinical results and worker satisfaction. The fourth component, feedback, promotes continual improvement procedures via feedback loops. Leadership feedback loops integrate leadership, employee needs, and organisational goals. Khaw et al. (2023) found that responsive feedback channels are critical to organisational change management.

8.1 Measurement and Assessment of the Rigour-Compassion Model

Establishing standardised monitoring and evaluation tools for the rigour-compassion paradigm is crucial to its success. Organisations improve procedural efficacy and compassionate leadership evaluation by using diverse assessment instruments. Integration of measurement criteria creates a responsible and supportive workplace that boosts job satisfaction and commitment. Assessing engagement and responding to satisfaction and comfort changes requires frequent worker surveys. Organisations need employee input to evaluate empathetic leadership. Systematic feedback can help organisations assess their compassionate leadership initiatives. Organisations can use these surveys to determine their strengths and shortcomings to develop leadership strategies. Brohi et al. (2021) claim that employee self-reports on organisational culture, support, and recognition systems improve workplace compassion. Second, 360-degree feedback models evaluate the rigor-compassion equilibrium comprehensively. This technique lets subordinates, peers, and superiors evaluate a leader's operational success and compassionate leadership. This feedback gives a complete picture of leadership styles and promotes organisational transparency. Figueiredo et al. (2023) claim that 360-degree feedback helps develop leaders with rigour and compassion, establishing a cohesive company culture. Performance indicators are needed to evaluate the rigor-compassion approach to organisational excellence. KPIs should include productivity, quality control, and qualitative indicators like employee environmental perceptions, as stated in this article. Using multiple performance measurements, organisations can evaluate their operational and humane practices. Carvalho et al. (2021) argue that organisations need a consistent performance monitoring method to grow and advance. Assessing employee stress and wellness may reveal more about an organization's caring culture. These assessments can identify stressors and employee dissatisfaction, preventing problems. Wellness solutions foster compassionate leadership in the workplace. Oruh et al. (2021) argue that organisational assessment results enable focused initiatives that promote compassionate leadership, help employees succeed, and improve organisational excellence.

8.2 Indicators of Organisational Excellence

After implementing the rigour-compassion approach, organisational excellence metrics must be monitored. The measures measure an organization's operational rigour and caring leadership.

These measures let companies evaluate their internal and external performance. A high employee retention rate indicates remarkable organisational effectiveness. Low turnover rates indicate a company that values and engages employees. Rigour and compassion in leadership techniques create a corporate culture that boosts employee happiness and retention. High staff retention reduces recruitment costs and develops experienced teams, ensuring organisational stability and continuity. Brand reputation improvement may indicate organisational excellence. Companies with compassionate leadership and practices have better brand reputations. Positive employee relations led to better customer loyalty because customers value the work environment. Positive public impression builds customer trust and community partnerships, boosting brand identity and attracting top talent and clients. Consumers increasingly prefer ethical and caring businesses, approaching a competitive advantage.

Positive patient outcomes indicate healthcare excellence. Positive patient results show the rigour-compassion model's healthcare specialisation. Healthcare workers' empathy and participation boost patient happiness, incident rates, and recovery. Margherita et al. (2021) found that compassionate healthcare environments boost staff morale and patient outcomes. The relationship shows that empathetic leadership improves care and patient experiences. Agility in the rigour-compassion approach affects organisational excellence. By adapting operations while preserving quality and compassion, a company shows resilience and efficacy. Organisations that blend operational discipline and compassionate leadership may quickly adjust to market changes and internal issues while prioritising employee and customer well-being. This measure is important for analysing business success since organisational agility improves performance in complex contexts.

8.3 Implementation Strategies of the Rigour-Compassion Model

Organisations must invest in talent development, prioritising scientific operational methods and sympathetic leadership. A holistic approach teaches workers to balance rigour and compassion and empowers them to employ both. Compassionate Leadership Workshops are key to implementing the paradigm. These specialised training sessions help leaders understand workplace relationships and empathy. Leaders who focus on compassion and performance boost morale and reduce burnout. The study by Malenfant et al. (2022) shows that teaching leaders compassion enhances their interpersonal skills and team trust and loyalty. Organisations must offer compassion-based

and Operational Excellence Training. Staff learn humane practices and methods for process optimisation in workshops. Since the plan improves performance and staff well-being, operational efficiency must protect employees' well-being. Staff are instructed to seek improvement opportunities while prioritising human dimensions of work to meet organisational performance and caring goals (Pionke & Graham, 2021). Implementing the rigour-compassion concept requires excellent management. This requires numerous strategies: Diverse stakeholders throughout implementation improve their commitment to sympathetic and strict changes. Employees, management, and external partners work together to apply the rigor-compassion paradigm. When stakeholders participate in decision-making, they are more committed to reforms and cultural change (Koon, 2022). A phased approach to model component introduction allows real-time input-driven adaptation. Adopting changes allows organisations to learn and adjust their strategies through its cycle structure. According to Tikva & Tambouris (2021), this flexibility allows teams to test new methods and evaluate their efficacy in complex organisational situations. scholarship and feedback systems Transparent communication channels encourage adaptation and allow businesses to assess their progress, improving performance. To evaluate their rigor-compassion strategy, companies must collect employee feedback. Open discourse allows people to share knowledge and build learning. Leadership effectiveness depends on adaptive organisational practices, according to Jemal et al. (2021).

9 FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

This study examined how compassionate leadership and operational success interact in organisations. Our findings showed that balanced management systems that combine strict rules with empathetic leadership can boost staff dedication, productivity, and long-term success. Through targeted training, organisations can integrate both frameworks. Change management methods involve stakeholders and iteration. Despite the study highlighting the need for rigour and compassion, much remains to be learnt about this issue. In remote work and non-profit organisations, the rigour-compassion approach may be tested in future study. Examining how varied cultural origins affect rigour and compassion may also be beneficial. Understanding these variations will help businesses choose engagement and performance strategies.

9.1 Practical Implications for Managers and Leaders

Managers and leaders are affected by this fair approach. They must see the link between the two ideas and then create a new organisational culture that blends them. In this regard, they should aim at: (i) Promoting Open Dialogue: Encourage employees to voice their opinions on company rules and practices. Meet regularly, conduct anonymous surveys, and hold open forums. (ii) Investing in Development: Stress the importance of training programs that combine performance improvement with compassionate leadership skills to help leaders at all levels adopt these values. (iii) Setting Pragmatic Expectations: Leaders must realise that operational excellence does not mean ignoring staff welfare. Setting clear, achievable goals and rewarding individual contributions can boost morale without overburdening personnel. (iv). Use varied indicators: To assess organisational health, use a balanced scorecard approach that includes performance and staff engagement metrics.

9.2 Implications for Organizational Excellence

The implications of combining rigour with compassion are far-reaching for organisational excellence and sustainable success. Through establishing a culture where high performance and compassion exist together organisations effectively meet their operational targets while also nurturing their employees' sense of belonging and purpose. Through this dual orientation organizations see improved employee retention and satisfaction along with increased workforce resilience for handling upcoming challenges.

10 IMPACTS ON STAKEHOLDERS: EMPLOYEES, CUSTOMERS, AND COMMUNITY RELATIONSHIPS

Embracing an integrated approach to rigour and compassion yields long-term benefits, including improved innovation, enhanced team cohesion, and superior customer service. Organizations that adopt an integrated approach to people management can cultivate a loyal customer base shaped by a strong reputation for meeting and exceeding stakeholder expectations. This fosters brand loyalty and extends the organisation's positive impact within the community. This positively affects employees by enhancing their job satisfaction and providing a greater comprehension of their

objectives. Customers of organisations that prioritise cost efficiency and compassion will encounter superior service quality, as staff treated with respect demonstrate greater commitment to their roles. Ultimately, communities gain from organisations that are socially responsible and economically sustainable. A dedication to compassion can enhance relationships with local communities, yielding benefits that complement the organization's objectives. The pursuit of equilibrium between rigour and compassion in organisational settings presents numerous prospects for future research and practical implementation. The significance of this synergy will escalate as organisations endeavour to navigate the intricate environment; it is essential not only to achieve short-term performance goals but also to ensure long-term sustainability and societal value.

11 CONCLUSION

This study examined rigour and compassion as fundamental elements for attaining organisational excellence. We commenced with an elucidation of rigour to comprehend its essential components, encompassing measurements, and processes, and its role in enhancing operational performance and mitigating risk. Our discussion indicates that utilisation of data in conjunction with standardised processes enhances performance and strategic foresight inside organisations. Subsequently, we underscored the pivotal role of compassion within the organisational framework, accentuating its significance via empathy and emotional intelligence. We examined the relationship between these two notions by illustrating how common ground may be established between rigour and compassion, evidenced by successful integrations across several industry situations. Cultural opposition, with misunderstandings that assert rigour and compassion are irreconcilable, constitutes a substantial barrier to achieving this equilibrium. Our examination of real-world instances revealed effective integration models and cautionary examples of failure, offering essential insights into the need to sustain this balance. Utilising our observations, we formulated a theoretical rigour-compassion model and presented measurement and assessment tools, with concrete implementation techniques via training programmes and change management approaches. The discourse delineated prospective research trajectories by identifying existing knowledge deficiencies that managers and leaders must leverage to formulate pragmatic solutions conducive to enduring success. The combined application of rigour and compassion yielded lasting

advantages for organisational performance and enhanced connections with stakeholders at all levels, including employees and consumers. Organisations can attain sustainable success by adopting dual strategies that integrate analytical rigour with a commitment to human dignity.

REFERENCES

- Ahn, P., Ko, S. H., & Choi, Y. (2024). Revisiting Compassion and Job Performance: A Constructive Study in South Korean Public Art Institutions. *Behavioral Sciences*, 14(10), 963.
- Al-Weshah, G. A. (2019). Organisational excellence as a marketing tool: Greater Amman Municipality as a case study. *International Journal of Business Performance Management*, 20(2), 110-129.
- Arghode, V., Lathan, A., Alagaraja, M., Rajaram, K., & McLean, G. N. (2022). Empathic organizational culture and leadership: conceptualizing the framework. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 46(1/2), 239-256.
- Awan, U., Shamim, S., Khan, Z., Zia, N. U., Shariq, S. M., & Khan, M. N. (2021). Big data analytics capability and decision-making: The role of data-driven insight on circular economy performance. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 168, 120766.
- Babalola, M. T., Mawritz, M. B., Greenbaum, R. L., Ren, S., & Garba, O. A. (2021). Whatever it takes: How and when supervisor bottom-line mentality motivates employee contributions in the workplace. *Journal of Management*, 47(5), 1134-1154.
- Balasubramanian, S., & Fernandes, C. (2022). Confirmation of a crisis leadership model and its effectiveness: Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1), 2022824.
- Barker, T. H., Migliavaca, C. B., Stein, C., Colpani, V., Falavigna, M., Aromataris, E., & Munn, Z. (2021). Conducting proportional meta-analysis in different types of systematic reviews: a guide for synthesisers of evidence. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 21, 1-9.
- Bayle-Cordier, J., Rouvelin, P., Savoli, A., & Vieira-Da-Cunha, J. (2022). An integrative model of corporate mindfulness: A systematic literature review. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration*, 39(2), 199-212.
- Bernal, E., Edgar, D., & Burnes, B. (2018). Building sustainability on deep values through mindfulness nurturing. *Ecological Economics*, 146, 645-657.
- Booth, A., James, M. S., Clowes, M., & Sutton, A. (2021). Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. London: Sage Publishers.

- Botelho, C. (2024). The influence of performance-driven cultures on performance appraisal best practices effectiveness. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 73(4), 1187-1211.
- Bragger, J. D., Alonso, N. A., D'Ambrosio, K., & Williams, N. (2021). Developing leaders to serve and servants to lead. *Human Resource Development Review*, 20(1), 9-45.
- Brohi, N. A., Mehmood, S. A., Erri, M. A., Mushtaque, T., Shah, I. A., & Khuhro, M. A. (2021). Compassionate leadership is key to success: Role of servant leadership style in predicting employees trust in leadership, psychological safety, and turnover intention. *Ilkogretim Online*, 20(5), 5662-5672.
- Carvalho, A. M., Sampaio, P., Rebentisch, E., Carvalho, J. Á., & Saraiva, P. (2021). The influence of operational excellence on the culture and agility of organizations: evidence from industry. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 38(7), 1520-1549.
- Chaudhuri, R., Chatterjee, S., Vrontis, D., & Thrassou, A. (2024). Adoption of robust business analytics for product innovation and organizational performance: the mediating role of organizational data-driven culture. *Annals of Operations Research*, 339(3), 1757-1791.
- Christensen-Salem, A., Mesquita, L. F., Hashimoto, M., Hom, P. W., & Gomez-Mejia, L. R. (2021). Family firms are indeed better places to work than non-family firms! Socioemotional wealth and employees' perceived organizational caring. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 12(1), 100412.
- Conklin, J. (2021). *Balancing acts: A human systems approach to organizational change*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Cross, R. (2021). *Beyond Collaboration Overload: How to Work Smarter, Get Ahead, and Restore Your Well-Being*. Newton: Harvard Business Press.
- Crosweller, M. (2022). Disaster management and the need for a relational leadership framework founded upon compassion, care, and justice. *Climate Risk Management*, 35, 100404.
- Cvenkel, N. (2020). *Well-being in the workplace: governance and sustainability insights to promote workplace health*. New York: Springer Nature.
- Davelaar, D. (2021). Transformation for sustainability: a deep leverage points approach. *Sustainability Science*, 16(3), 727-747.
- Dent, M. (2022). *Girlhood: Raising our little girls to be healthy, happy and heard*. New York City: Macmillan Publishers
- Dewar, C., Keller, S., & Malhotra, V. (2022). *CEO excellence: The six mindsets that distinguish the best leaders from the rest*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

- Dinsmore, D. L., & Ali-Khan, C. (2024). Frameworks for Balancing Care and Excellence in Higher Education (Chapter 2). https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004693029_002
- Dixon, S. E., & Clifford, A. (2007). Ecopreneurship—a new approach to managing the triple bottom line. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 20(3), 326-345.
- Dodson, S. J., & Heng, Y. T. (2022). Self-compassion in organizations: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(2), 168-196.
- Donaldson, S. I., van Zyl, L. E., & Donaldson, S. I. (2022). PERMA+ 4: A framework for work-related wellbeing, performance and positive organizational psychology 2.0. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 817244.
- Dooris, M., Powell, S., Parkin, D., & Farrier, A. (2021). Health-promoting universities: effective leadership for health, well-being and sustainability. *Health Education*, 121(3), 295-310.
- Evans, D. (2022). So close to love: compassionate leadership in healthcare. *British Journal of Healthcare Management*, 28(4), 1-8.
- Figueiredo, P. C. N., Sousa, M. J., & Tomé, E. (2023). Integrative model of the leader competences. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 47(5/6), 533-564.
- Ghafourifard, M., Zamanzadeh, V., Valizadeh, L., & Rahmani, A. (2022). Compassionate nursing care model: results from a grounded theory study. *Nursing Ethics*, 29(3), 621-635.
- Gibb, S., & Ishaq, M. (2020). Decent work: what matters most and who can make a difference. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 42(4), 845-861.
- Groenewald, C. A., Groenewald, E., Kilag, O. K., Andrin, G., Pernites, M. J., & Macapaz, M. K. (2024). Optimizing Human Capital: Exploring the Effectiveness of HRM Systems. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRIS)*, 1(3), 85-92.
- Guinot, J., Miralles, S., Rodríguez-Sánchez, A., & Chiva, R. (2020). Do compassionate firms outperform? The role of organizational learning. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 42(3), 717-734.
- Guinot, J., Miralles, S., Rodríguez-Sánchez, A., & Chiva, R. (2020). Do compassionate firms outperform? The role of organizational learning. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 42(3), 717-734.
- Hartnett, R. (2022). *It's All Possible: How you can lead an epic life and unleash the high-performance hero within you*. Melbourne: Major Street Publishing.
- Hiebl, M. R. (2023). Sample selection in systematic literature reviews of management research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 26(2), 229-261.

Hougaard, R., & Carter, J. (2022). *Compassionate leadership: How to do hard things in a human way*. Newton: Harvard Business Press.

Humphrey, J., & Hughes, D. (2021). *High performance: lessons from the best on becoming your best*. New York: Random House.

Hussain, N., Rigoni, U., & Orij, R. P. (2018). Corporate governance and sustainability performance: Analysis of triple bottom line performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 149, 411-432.

Ipinazar, A., Zarrabeitia, E., Rio Belver, R. M., & Martinez-de-Alegría, I. (2021). Organizational culture transformation model: Towards a high-performance organization. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management (JIEM)*, 14(1), 25-44.

Jemal, K., Hailu, D., Mekonnen, M., Tesfa, B., Bekele, K., & Kinati, T. (2021). The importance of compassion and respectful care for the health workforce: a mixed-methods study. *Journal of Public Health*, 1-12.

Kelly, M., Soles, R., Garcia, E., & Kundu, I. (2020). Job stress, burnout, work-life balance, well-being, and job satisfaction among pathology residents and fellows. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 153(4), 449-469.

Khan, H. (2021). *Leadership reinvented: How to foster empathy, servitude, diversity, and innovation in the workplace*. Illinois: Sourcebooks, Inc.

Khaw, K. W., Alnoor, A., Al-Abrow, H., Tiberius, V., Ganesan, Y., & Atshan, N. A. (2023). Reactions towards organizational change: a systematic literature review. *Current Psychology*, 42(22), 19137-19160.

Koon, V. Y. (2022). The role of organisational compassion in knowledge hiding and thriving at work. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 20(3), 486-501.

Kotková Strítešská, M., & Sein, Y. Y. (2021). Performance driven culture in the public sector: The case of Nordic countries. *Administrative Sciences*, 11(1), 4.

Kraus, S., Breier, M., Lim, W. M., Dabić, M., Kumar, S., Kanbach, D. & Ferreira, J. J. (2022). Literature reviews as independent studies: guidelines for academic practice. *Review of Managerial Science*, 16(8), 2577-2595

Lima, D., Spahi, J., & Shala, V. (2022). The Management of emotional intelligence in the workplace impact on improving employee performance. *Calitatea*, 23(188), 297-301.

MacRae, I. (2022). *MYTHS OF WORK: Dispel the Misconceptions and Succeed in the World of Work (Vol. 10)*. London: Kogan Page Publishers.

Malenfant, S., Jaggi, P., Hayden, K. A., & Sinclair, S. (2022). Compassion in healthcare: an updated scoping review of the literature. *BMC Palliative Care*, 21(1), 80.

- Margherita, A., Sharifi, H., & Caforio, A. (2021). A conceptual framework of strategy, action and performance dimensions of organisational agility development. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 33(7), 829-842.
- Marsellas, N. (2021). *Care and Rigor in Higher Education*. Pennsylvania: University of Pittsburgh.
- Martin, F., & Maxwell, S. (2021). A Study of Performance Driven Culture in the Public Sector: Evaluation of Nordic Countries. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 5(1), 48-60.
- Mauer, B., & Venecek, J. (2022). *Writing the Literature Review. Strategies for Conducting Literary Research*, 2e. City of publication: Publisher?
- McNulty, J. P., & Politis, Y. (2023). Empathy, emotional intelligence and interprofessional skills in healthcare education. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, 54(2), 238-246.
- Meechan, F., McCann, L., & Cooper, C. (2022). The importance of empathy and compassion in organizations: Why there is so little, and why we need more. In *Research Handbook on the Sociology of Organizations* (pp. 145-163). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Melé, D. (2019). *Business ethics in action: Managing human excellence in organizations*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Miller, A. (2014). *Redefining Operational Excellence: New Strategies for Maximizing Performance and Profits Across the Organization*. New York: Amacom.
- Mohamed Shaffril, H. A., Samsuddin, S. F., & Abu Samah, A. (2021). The ABC of systematic literature review: the basic methodological guidance for beginners. *Quality & Quantity*, 55, 1319-1346
- Munn, Z., Pollock, D., Khalil, H., Alexander, L., McInerney, P., Godfrey, C. M. & Tricco, A. C. (2022). What are scoping reviews? Providing a formal definition of scoping reviews as a type of evidence synthesis. *JBI Evidence Synthesis*, 20(4), 950-952.
- Murari, K., & Mukherjee, U. (2021). Role of authentic transformational leadership for managerial excellence and sustainability. *Psychology and Education*, 58(4), 3612-3628.
- Nagy, S. M., Butame, S. A., Todd, L., Sheffler, J. L., Budhwani, H., Fernandez, M. I. & Naar, S. (2022). Barriers and facilitators to implementing a motivational interviewing-based intervention: a multi-site study of organizations caring for youth living with HIV. *Aids Care*, 34(4), 486-491.
- Newman, M., & Gough, D. (2020). Systematic reviews in educational research: Methodology, perspectives and application. *Systematic reviews in educational research: Methodology, perspectives and application*, 3-22. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-27602-7_1
- Omonije, A. (2024). Leadership Mindset on the Triple Bottom Line. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2024-nnmwx>

- Oruh, E. S., Mordi, C., Dibia, C. H., & Ajonbadi, H. A. (2021). Exploring compassionate managerial leadership style in reducing employee stress level during COVID-19 crisis: the case of Nigeria. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 43(6), 1362-1381.
- Paakkanen, M., Martela, F., Hakanen, J., Uusitalo, L., & Pessi, A. (2021). Awakening compassion in managers—A new emotional skills intervention to improve managerial compassion. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 36(6), 1095-1108.
- Papadopoulos, I., Lazzarino, R., Koulouglioti, C., Aagard, M., Akman, Ö., Alpers, L. M., & Zorba, A. (2021). The importance of being a compassionate leader: the views of nursing and midwifery managers from around the world. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 32(6), 765-777.
- Pattison, N., & Corser, R. (2023). Compassionate, collective or transformational nursing leadership to ensure fundamentals of care are achieved: A new challenge or non-sequitur. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 79(3), 942-950.
- Paul, J., & Barari, M. (2022). Meta-analysis and traditional systematic literature reviews—What, why, when, where, and how. *Psychology & Marketing*, 39(6), 1099-1115.
- Paul, J., & Criado, A. R. (2020). The art of writing literature review: What do we know and what do we need to know. *International Business Review*, 29(4), 101717.
- Pavithra, A. (2022). Towards developing a comprehensive conceptual understanding of positive hospital culture and approaches to healthcare organisational culture change in Australia. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 36(1), 105-120.
- Peng, J., Li, M., Wang, Z., & Lin, Y. (2021). Transformational leadership and employees' reactions to organizational change: evidence from a meta-analysis. *The Journal of applied behavioral science*, 57(3), 369-397.
- Killen, C. P., Sankaran, S., Knapp, M., & Stevens, C. (2023). Embracing paradox and contingency: integration mechanisms for ambidextrous innovation portfolio management. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 16(6/7), 743-766.
- Peters, M. D., Marnie, C., Tricco, A. C., Pollock, D., Munn, Z., Alexander, L., & Khalil, H. (2020). Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBIM Evidence Synthesis*, 18(10), 2119-2126.
- Petrick, J. (2017). *Total quality in managing human resources*. New York City: Routledge.
- Pionke, J. J., & Graham, R. (2021). A multidisciplinary scoping review of literature focused on compassion, empathy, emotional intelligence, or mindfulness behaviors and working with the public. *Journal of Library Administration*, 61(2), 147-184.
- Popenoe, R., Langius-Eklöf, A., Stenwall, E., & Jervaeus, A. (2021). A practical guide to data analysis in general literature reviews. *Nordic Journal of Nursing Research*, 41(4), 175-186.

- Putro, P. A. W., Purwaningsih, E. K., Sensuse, D. I., & Suryono, R. R. (2022). Model and implementation of rice supply chain management: A literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*, 197, 453-460.
- Ramachandran, S., Balasubramanian, S., James, W. F., & Al Masaeid, T. (2024). Whither compassionate leadership? A systematic review. *Management Review Quarterly*, 74(3), 1473-1557.
- Robinson, J., Raphael, D., Moeke-Maxwell, T., Parr, J., Gott, M., & Slark, J. (2024). Implementing interventions to improve compassionate nursing care: A literature review. *International Nursing Review*, 71(3), 457-467.
- Román-Niaves, S., Vasquez, C. A., Coó, C., Nielsen, K., Llorens, S., & Salanova, M. (2024). Effectiveness of compassion-based interventions at work: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis considering process evaluation and training transfer. *Current Psychology*, 1-21.
- Saharan, V. A., Kulhari, H., Jadhav, H., Pooja, D., Banerjee, S., & Singh, A. (2020). Introduction to research methodology. In *Principles of Research Methodology and Ethics in Pharmaceutical Sciences* (pp. 1-46). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- San Román-Niaves, M., Coó, C., Llorens, S., & Salanova, M. (2022). The mediating role of compassion between social job resources, and healthy healthcare professionals: A cross-sectional study with gender perspective. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(12), 7500.
- Sarker, I. H. (2021). Data science and analytics: an overview from data-driven smart computing, decision-making and applications perspective. *SN Computer Science*, 2(5), 377.
- Savastano, M., Zentner, H., Spremić, M., & Cucari, N. (2022). Assessing the relationship between digital transformation and sustainable business excellence in a turbulent scenario. *Total quality Management & Business Excellence*, 1-22.
- Savitz, A. (2013). *The triple bottom line: how today's best-run companies are achieving economic, social and environmental success and how you can too*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sellberg, M. M., Cockburn, J., Holden, P. B., & Lam, D. P. (2021). Towards a caring transdisciplinary research practice: navigating science, society and self. *Ecosystems and People*, 17(1), 292-305.
- Shao, B. (2024). The leader affect revolution reloaded: Toward an integrative framework and a robust science. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 35(1), 101756.
- Simon, L. S., Rosen, C. C., Gajendran, R. S., Ozgen, S., & Corwin, E. S. (2022). Pain or gain? Understanding how trait empathy impacts leader effectiveness following the provision of negative feedback. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107(2), 279.

- Simpson, A. V., Farr-Wharton, B., & Reddy, P. (2020). Cultivating organizational compassion in healthcare. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 26(3), 340-354.
- Simpson, A. V., Rego, A., Berti, M., Clegg, S., & Pina e Cunha, M. (2022). Theorizing compassionate leadership from the case of Jacinda Ardern: Legitimacy, paradox and resource conservation. *Leadership*, 18(3), 337-358.
- Sinclair, S., Kondejewski, J., Jaggi, P., Roze des Ordon, A. L., Kassam, A., Hayden, K. A., & Hack, T. F. (2021). What works for whom in compassion training programs offered to practicing healthcare providers: a realist review. *BMC Medical Education*, 21(1), 455.
- Srivastava, V. N. (2014). Studying Organisational Excellence Qualitatively. *Productivity*, 55(1).
- Stallard, M. L. (2020). Connection culture: The competitive advantage of shared identity, empathy, and understanding at work. Association for Talent Development. NewYork City: Percapita Publishing.
- Stroehle, J. C., Soonawalla, K., & Metzner, M. (2019). How to measure performance in a purposeful company? Analysing the Status Quo. British Academy, future of the corporation working paper series. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3504530>.
- Sushil, & Dhir, S. (2024). *Strategic Performance Management*. In *Strategic Management: Reflecting Strategic Flexibility, Innovation and Entrepreneurship* (pp. 95-113). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Tamunomiebi, M. D., & Oyibo, C. (2020). Work-life balance and employee performance: A literature review. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 5(2).
- Tikva, C., & Tambouris, E. (2021). Mapping computational thinking through programming in K-12 education: A conceptual model based on a systematic literature Review. *Computers & Education*, 162, 104083.
- Tzortzaki, A. M. (2022). Developing compassionate self-leadership: a conceptual framework for leadership effectiveness in the digital age. *Journal for Global Business Advancement*, 15(3), 272-296.
- Ukeles, J. B. (2022). *A Performance-Driven Culture: Enabling a Vibrant Jewish Community*. In *Illuminating the Path to Vibrant American Jewish Communities: Linking Data to Policy* (pp. 259-273). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Vogel, S., & Flint, B. (2021). Compassionate leadership: how to support your team when fixing the problem seems impossible. *Nursing Management*, 28(1).
- Wei, H., Zhu, Y., & Li, S. (2016). Top executive leaders' compassionate actions: An integrative framework of compassion incorporating a Confucian perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 33, 767-787.

Willard, B. (2012). The new sustainability advantage: seven business case benefits of a triple bottom line. City of publication: New Society Publishers.

Yeung, J. (2023). Enabling net-zero buildings through automated compliance checking, driven by energy and life cycle assessment co-simulation (Doctoral dissertation, Cardiff University). City of publication?

Yokuş, G. (2022). Developing a guiding model of educational leadership in higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: A grounded theory study. *Participatory Educational Research*, 9(1), 362-387.

Yue, C. A., Thelen, P. D., & Walden, J. (2023). How empathetic leadership communication mitigates employees' turnover intention during COVID-19-related organizational change. *Management Decision*, 61(5), 1413-1433.

Zhao, J., Wang, B. L., Qin, X., Liu, Y., & Liu, T. (2024). Core elements of excellent hospital leadership: lessons from the five top-performing hospitals in China. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 36(2).

Zwetsloot, G., Leka, S., Kines, P., & Jain, A. (2020). Vision zero: Developing proactive leading indicators for safety, health and well-being at work. *Safety Science*, 130, 104890.